

Independent Auditors' Reports on Federal Awards in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 Report

Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2012

Table of Contents

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on the Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal	
Awards	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	5
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	7



KPMG LLP Suite 3100 717 North Harwood Street Dallas, TX 75201-6585

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

The Honorable Mayor, City Council, and City Manager The City of Mansfield, Texas:

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Mansfield, Texas (the City) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated January 31, 2013. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Mayor, City Council, management, others within the City, federal and state awarding agencies, and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

KPMG LLP

January 31, 2013



KPMG LLP Suite 3100 717 North Harwood Street Dallas, TX 75201-6585

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on the Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

The Honorable Mayor, City Council, and City Manager The City of Mansfield, Texas:

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Mansfield, Texas (the City) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement (Compliance Supplement) that could have a direct and material effect on the City's major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2012. The City's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to the major federal program is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City of Mansfield, Texas complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to in the first paragraph above that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2012.

Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine the auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.



A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated January 31, 2013, which contained unqualified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming our opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We have not performed any procedures with respect to the audited financial statements subsequent to January 31, 2013. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Mayor, City Council, management, federal and state awarding agencies, and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



January 31, 2013

CITY OF MANSFIELD, TEXAS

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

September 30, 2012

Federal grantor/pass-through grantor/program title	Federal CFDA number	Grant number	-1	Federal
U. S. Department of Energy: ARRA – Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program	81.128	DE-EE0001509	S	37,396
Total U.S. Department of Energy			- 1	37,396
U.S. Department of Justice; Passed through City of Fort Worth, Texas ARRA – Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.803	2009-SB-B9-1479 N/A	1	31,175
Total U.S. Department of Justice			1	54,829
U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency:				
Passed through Texas Water Development Board Severe Repetitive Loss Program Severe Repetitive Loss Program	97.110 97.110	SRL-PJ-06-TX-2009-009 SRL-PJ-06-TX-2011-002	- 1	600,150 498,164
Total U. S. Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency			-	1,098,314
Total federal expenditures			S	1,190,539

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards September 30, 2012

(1) General

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the City of Mansfield, Texas (the City). The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements.

The City's reporting entity is defined in note 1 to the City's basic financial statements. Federal awards received directly from federal agencies as well as federal awards passed through other government agencies are included on the schedule.

(2) Basis of Accounting

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented using the accrual basis of accounting, which is described in note 1 to the City's basic financial statements.

(3) Relationship to Federal Financial Reports

Grant expenditure reports as of September 30, 2012, which have been submitted to grantor agencies, will, in some cases, differ from amounts disclosed herein. The reports will agree at termination of the grant as the discrepancies noted are timing differences.

(4) Subrecipients

Of the federal expenditures presented in the schedules, the City did not provide federal awards to subrecipients during 2012.

(5) Federal Awards Reconciliation

Less: Intergovernmental awards from state government Total expenditures per schedule of expenditures of federal awards	_	(234,945)
Deferred revenue		219,594
Grant revenue to proprietary funds		818,426
changes in fund balances governmental funds	\$	387,464
Intergovernmental revenues per statement of revenues, expenditures, and		

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards September 30, 2012

Section I - Summary of Auditors' Reports Financial Statements Unqualified Type of auditors' report issued: Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Yes No Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes None reported · Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? Yes 🗸 No Federal Awards Internal control over major program: Material weakness(es) identified? Yes No Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes None reported Type of auditors' report issued on compliance Unqualified for major program: Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of Circular A-133? Yes Identification of major program: Name of federal program CFDA number(s) 97.110 Severe Repetitive Loss Program Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$300,000 ____ Yes _ < No Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Section II - Financial Statement Findings No matters were reported. Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

No matters were reported.